MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

SEVENTIETH DAY

Wednesday, 9th October 1963.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI. B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA, B.A., B.L.), in the Chair.

Business of the House.

MR. SPEAKER.—I felt an under-current of dissatisfaction among some Members and I wanted to allow a little time for Members to participate in this important Discussion. But, before I deal with that, I want to announce to the House that tomorrow there is a function in this Hall. There are no printed invitations to be issued to anybody. If we make it a public function, inviting many and all that, accommodation will not be adequate. Members of the Council also will be attending this Function here and the existing accommodation will be sufficient only for the Members of the Assembly and the Council and any guest invited will have to be seated in the gallery. We are also expecting some Members of Parliament. I have not been able to contact all of them personally. Such of those whom I met, I have invited them personally. I am sure, if there are any other Members of the Lok Sabha and the Rajya Sabha within the knowledge of any Hon'ble Member here, and if their names are indicated they will also be invited for the function tomorrow.

So far as inviting the question of other guests is concerned, accommodation being rather limited, it is to be regulated. A circular also has been issued to the effect that one pass will be given to each Member and if he has a friend, he may make use of it for him. Everybody will help me and tell invitees who may not receive invitation cards I understand invitations are also being got printed and that will be circulated. I do wish that every one of you will be present tomorrow so that we may do honour to the President.

Now coming to the business of the House, there will be some time that may be given to the Members. Usually we call it reply of the Government. But it is not really reply in the sense that it brings the

(MR. SPEAKER)

debate to a close. The reply is to be given by the mover of the resolution. Members of the Treasury Benches are replying to the criticism levelled against them—I will not call them charges. If any criticism relates to any Hon'ble Minister and if he so desires, he will give an explanation as a Member of the Council of Ministers because the resolution covers the entire Council of Ministers. It is not a resolution saying that there is no confidence in any individual Minister. It may be necessary for whatever charges or whatever criticism that is levelled against the Ministers, they may have to participate. The problem is how am I to do it ? I will give some chance to the Members of the Opposition. But I seek the co-operation of Members and whips to guide me as to who are the persons to whom chances should be given. I will call upon somebody now and by the time he finishes, kindly help me by giving me the names of members regardless of Party affiliations, whether it is P.S.P....

(Sri D. Parameswarappa was called to speak.)

Sri D. PARAMESWARAPPA (Honnali).—Mr. Speaker, Sir,

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—With your permission, I want to draw your attention to Rule 153 (4) which reads :

> "(4) : The Speaker shall, at the appointed hour on the allotted day or the last of the allotted days, as the case may be, forthwith put every question necessary to determine the decision of the Assembly on the motion."

I request the Chair that nothing should happen to-day regarding this No-confidence Motion, because the time namely 12 hours fixed for this motion is already over. Twelve hours' time was fixed and yesterday was fixed as the last day for the discussion of this Motion and Member son this side and on that side have spoken and there need not be any further discussion on this Motion. We may now enter upon other business mentioned in the list of businsss circulated by the Legislature Secretariat.

Sri G. V. GOWDA (Palya).—Leave of the House has not been taken in adjourning the matter. *Suo moto* the Speaker adjourned the House. Whether it will not conflict with Rule 153 is another point which I request the Chair to consider.

MR. SPEAKER.—Is it the implication of the respresentations that are made to me that this Motion need not be put to the vote of the House and that simply the sense of the House should be ascertained whether it has or has not the support of the House. Shall I allow it as talked out.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—It should have been put to vote at the appointed hour.

MR. SPEAKER.—First, I will deal with the point raised by Sri Muckannappa. Sub-rule (4) says: "...at the appointed hour on th

allotted day..." What is that hour and day? It is what the House fixes and the House has fixed that it should be 12 hours which would normally expire at 7 P.M. yesterday. But that does not mean that the House has no right to extend the time and the appointed day will have to be linked on to the end of the appointed time. Once it is fixed, it does not lie beyond the power of the House to extend it and it was at the instance of the members and after assessing the opinion of the House that the time was extended. I am sorry Sri G. V. Gowda did not catch me properly. The House did not object at all and these things happen not only now but time and again in not adhering to the time. How many times amendment was moved to extend the time? Really I should have applied guillotine. Therefore, what is the ruling? The ruling is that if business is transacted and if the House does not object, even if it is not mentioned in the House, it should have been deemed by implication that the time shall be extended, but in the interests of the members, I ascertained the sense of the House. The last words I mentioned were: 'Members perhaps feel exhausted and therefore, I do not want to continue the Debate. We will do it tomorrow and the reply will be like this." All these particulars were discussed. It has been done with the concurrence of the House. Not only that. The Leaders of the Parties will have discussed the matter amongst themselves and there is no point in any of the members of the Party objecting because I suppose they will have to abide by what the leader says. It is not absolutely necessary. I can as well ascertain now the sense of the House and take a decision and announce to the House; but I am taking further the precaution of asking the Leader of the House and the Leader of any other Party: I constantly keep myself in touch with them, with the Whips...

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ, ನಾವು ನಮ್ಮ ರೆಪ್ರೆಸೆಂಟೇಟಿವ್ ಲೀಡರ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಡಿಸ್‌ಒಬೇ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇಲ್ಲ.

When the Hon'ble Speaker is on his legs and he put it to the House how was it possible for any member to object to what the Chair has said?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Power is given to me to extend it; Yet I wanted to assess the sense of the House whether the House desired extension or not.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ರೂರ್ಸ್ ಓದಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ತಾವು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಪೀಲು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವೇ ಇಲ್ಲ, ಇದ್ದಿದ್ದರೆ ಸರಿಯೇ ತಪ್ಪೇ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ನೀವು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ, ಸರಿಯೋ ತಪ್ಪೊ. I must abide by that.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Muckannappa must never call it wrong. He should have that goodness to say that I have not committed a wrong. Sri Parameswarappa may now speak.

MOTION OF NO-CONFIDENCE IN THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS.

† SRID. PARAMESWARAPPA.—Mr. Speaker, Sir, this comprehensive motion of no confidence which is being debated upon since two days has

†Indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.